

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,171		03/31/2004	Hiroshi Sasaki	05030027AA	4482
30743	7590	11/02/2006		EXAN	IINER
	•	IS & CHRISTOF	BOATENG, AL	BOATENG, ALEXIS ASIEDUA	
11491 SUN SUITE 340		23 KOAD	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON,	VA 2019	0	2838		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ch/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/813,171	SASAKI, HIROSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexis Boateng	2838				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	<u>August 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi						
S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,6 and 8-14</u> is/are pending in th	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,6 and 8-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	er election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached On	ice Action of form FTO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	l(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applic	ation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	•	S d				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
		•				
Attachment(s)	n 🗆 12.1.2.2.2	on. (DTO 442)				
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai	il Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Information Other:	al Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rahman (U.S. 5,990,664) in view of Gladstein (U.S. 5,341,503).

Regarding claim 1, Rahman discloses wherein a power source circuit for a cell for controlling transfer of electrical energy form said cell to loads, wherein a device employing said power source circuit is operated in a manner that, when a discharge voltage of said cell becomes lower than an operation lower limit voltage of said device to be operated, a voltage output from said power source circuit for said cell is made higher than said operation lower limit voltage of said device by using a voltage increasing unit (column 5 lines 4 – 26; figure 3b item 2: voltage increasing unit is the boost converter). Rahman discloses the invention as previously as claimed, but does not disclose the remainder. Gladstein discloses in column 7 lines 13 – 50 wherein the rate of battery voltage drop is measured and the discharge curve is computed. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Rahman system with the Gladstein system so that user knows how much time is left until the battery is fully discharged.

Art Unit: 2838

3. Claims 3, 5, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitagawa (U.S. 6,414,403) in view of Gladstein (U.S. 5,341,503).

Regarding claim 3, Kitagawa discloses wherein a power source for a cell for controlling transfer of electric energy from said cell to loads, said power source circuit comprising:

a cell voltage detecting circuit to detect a voltage of said cell (figure 1 item 6),

a discharge controlling circuit (figure 3 item 25),

an output voltage detecting circuit (figure 1 item 6),

a step-up DC-DC converter (figure 1 item 4),

a switching circuit to switch positive electrode of said cell to either of an output terminal of said power source circuit or an inputting section of said step-up DC-DC converter (figure 1 item 4),

and a power storing section mounted in an outputting section of said power source circuit (figure 3 item C2),

wherein a device employing said power source circuit is operated in a manner that, when a discharge voltage of said cell becomes lower than an operation lower limit voltage of said device to be operated, a voltage output from said power source circuit for said cell is made higher than said operation lower limit voltage of said device by using said step-up DC-DC converter (column 4 lines 32 – 55). Kitagawa discloses the invention as previously claimed, but does not disclose the remainder. Gladstein discloses in column 7 lines 13 – 50

Art Unit: 2838

wherein the rate of battery voltage drop is measured and the discharge curve is computed. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Kitagawa system with the Gladstein system so that user knows how much time is left until the battery is fully discharged.

Regarding claim 5 and 8, Kitagawa discloses wherein said power storing section comprises an electric double layer capacitor (figure 3 item C2).

Regarding claim 6, Kitagawa discloses wherein a power source for a cell for controlling transfer of electric energy from said cell to loads, said power source circuit comprising:

a cell voltage detecting circuit to detect a voltage of said cell (figure 1 item 6),

a control circuit (figure 3 item 25),

an output voltage detecting circuit (figure 1 item 6),

a step-up DC-DC converter (figure 1 item 4),

an inductor (figure 3 item L1),

two or more switching circuits (figure 1 item 4),

and a power storing section mounted in an outputting section of said power source circuit (figure 3 item C2),

wherein a device employing said power source circuit is operated in a manner that, when a discharge voltage of said cell becomes lower than an operation lower limit voltage of said device to be operated, a voltage output from said power source circuit for said cell is made higher than said operation lower

Art Unit: 2838

limit voltage of said device by using said step-up DC-DC converter (column 4 lines 32 – 55). Kitagawa discloses the invention as previously claimed, but does not disclose the remainder. Gladstein discloses in column 7 lines 13 – 50 wherein the rate of battery voltage drop is measured and the discharge curve is computed. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Kitagawa system with the Gladstein system so that user knows how much time is left until the battery is fully discharged.

4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saeki (U.S. 2003/0030413) in view of Rahman (U.S. 5,990,664) and in further view of Gladstein (U.S. 5,341,503).

Regarding claim 9, Saeki discloses in figure 11, wherein the power source is comprised within case, item 300. Saeki discloses the invention as previously claimed, but does not disclose the remainder. Rahman discloses in column 5 lines 4 – 26; figure 3b item 2 wherein the voltage is increased by a boost converter when the voltage of the battery has discharged to a point below a certain level. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Saeki system with the Rahman system so that when the battery has discharged to a low level, the battery can still provide power to the device. Saeki and Rahman disclose the invention as previously claimed, but does not disclose the remainder. Gladstein discloses in column 7 lines 13 – 50 wherein the rate of battery voltage drop is measured and the discharge curve is computed. At the time of invention, it would have been

Art Unit: 2838

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Saeki and Rahman system with the Gladstein system so that user knows how much time is left until the battery is fully discharged.

5. Claims 10, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saeki (U.S. 2003/0030413) in view of Rahman (U.S. 5,990,664) and in further view of Gladstein (U.S. 5,341,503) as applied to claim 9 above, and in further view of Garstein (U.S. 6,163,131).

Regarding claims 10, 12, and 14 both Saeki and Rahman disclose a secondary battery in figure 3 items E1-2 and in column 2 lines 11 – 21. The two references fail to disclose a primary battery. Garstein discloses in column 3 line 46 – column 4 line 6 wherein a primary (non-rechargeable) battery may be used. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Saeki and Rahman system with the Garstein system so that the application can be used on a wide variety of devices.

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitagawa (U.S. 6,414,403) in view of Saeki (U.S. 2003/0030413).

Regarding claim 11, Kitagawa discloses claimed invention except wherein the power source is comprised within the case. Saeki discloses in figure 11, wherein the power source is comprised within case, item 300. Kitagawa discloses wherein a power source for a cell for controlling transfer of electric energy from said cell to loads, said power source circuit comprising a cell voltage detecting circuit to detect a voltage of said cell (figure 1 item 6), a discharge controlling

Art Unit: 2838

circuit (figure 3 item 25), an output voltage detecting circuit (figure 1 item 6), a step-up DC-DC converter (figure 1 item 4), a switching circuit to switch positive electrode of said cell to either of an output terminal of said power source circuit or an inputting section of said step-up DC-DC converter (figure 1 item 4), and a power storing section mounted in an outputting section of said power source circuit (figure 3 item C2), wherein a device employing said power source circuit is operated in a manner that, when a discharge voltage of said cell becomes lower than an operation lower limit voltage of said device to be operated, a voltage output from said power source circuit for said cell is made higher than said operation lower limit voltage of said device by using said step-up DC-DC converter (column 4 lines 32 – 55). At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Kitagawa system with the Saeki system so that the battery pack can be protected from damage by the housing.

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitagawa (U.S. 6,414,403) in view of Saeki (U.S. 2003/0030413) in further view of Gladstein (U.S. 5,341,503).

Regarding claim 13, Kitagawa discloses claimed invention except wherein the power source is comprised within the case. Saeki discloses in figure 11, wherein the power source is comprised within case, item 300. Kitagawa discloses wherein a power source for a cell for controlling transfer of electric energy from said cell to loads, said power source circuit comprising a cell voltage detecting

Art Unit: 2838

circuit to detect a voltage of said cell (figure 1 item 6), a discharge controlling circuit (figure 3 item 25), an output voltage detecting circuit (figure 1 item 6), a step-up DC-DC converter (figure 1 item 4), a switching circuit to switch positive electrode of said cell to either of an output terminal of said power source circuit or an inputting section of said step-up DC-DC converter (figure 1 item 4), an inductor (figure 3 item L1), two or more switching circuits (figure 1 item 4), and a power storing section mounted in an outputting section of said power source circuit (figure 3 item C2), wherein a device employing said power source circuit is operated in a manner that, when a discharge voltage of said cell becomes lower than an operation lower limit voltage of said device to be operated, a voltage output from said power source circuit for said cell is made higher than said operation lower limit voltage of said device by using said step-up DC-DC converter (column 4 lines 32 – 55). At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Kitagawa system with the Saeki system so that the battery pack can be protected from damage by the housing. Kitagawa and Saeki disclose the invention as previously claimed, but does not disclose the remainder. Gladstein discloses in column 7 lines 13 – 50 wherein the rate of battery voltage drop is measured and the discharge curve is computed. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Saeki and Rahman system with the Gladstein system so that user knows how much time is left until the battery is fully discharged.

Art Unit: 2838

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, and 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. **Regarding claims**11 and 13, the applicant argues wherein claim the claim requires a "switching circuit to switch a positive electrode of said cell to either of an output terminal of said power source circuit or an inputting section of said step-up DC-DC converter." Kitagawa discloses in figure 1 wherein switches 1 and 2 switch between the battery and inputting section of the DC-DC converter. The applicant also argues wherein the switch is necessarily separate from the DC-DC converter and the switch "toggles between the output terminal and the DC – DC converter. The claims do not appear to require wherein the switch is separate from the DC-DC converter nor wherein the switches "toggle" between the two elements. Therefore the Kitagawa reference reads upon the claims.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2838

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexis Boateng whose telephone number is (571) 272-5979. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on (571) 272-1989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AB

KARL EASTHOM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER